

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 245 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE H.L.GOKHALE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

GSRTC

Versus

KIRIT SINH R GOHIL

Appearance:

MRS VASAVDATTA BHATT for Petitioner

MR TR MISHRA for Respondent.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE H.L.GOKHALE

Date of decision: 09/12/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard Mrs.Bhatt for the petitioner and Mr. Mishra for the respondent. Rule had already been issued on this petition on 4/4/1997, making it returnable on 22/4/1997 by my brother Calla J. When the matter was placed before this court today, it is pointed out by Ms. Bhatt that the petitioners do not have any serious objection to the impugned order, but proper placement to the respondent may be given. He has represented to the

petitioner after the impugned award. The petitioner have recorded by the letter to their Advocate Mrs. Bhatt that they are agreeable to place the respondent in the post of Helper by protecting his last pay as stated in their letter. The petitioner will issue the necessary order of resumption on duty by the end of December, 1997 and other consequential monetary benefits will be cleared to him by the end of January, 1998. This petition is accordingly disposed of. Rule is made absolute to the extent aforesaid, with no order as to costs. Direct Service is permitted to the respondent.

(ccs)